1	DAYLE ELIESON	
2	United States Attorney ROBERT A. KNIEF	
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 / Fax: (702) 388-6020	
5	Robert.knief@usdoj.gov Counsel for the United States	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA -0Oo-	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 2:18-mj-00688-GWF
10	Vs.	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY HEARING
11	JUAN ANTONIO ORDONEZ-FUNES,	(First Request)
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Dayle Elieson, United	
15	States Attorney, and Robert Knief, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of	
16	America, and Kathryn Newman, counsel for Juan	Antonio Ordonez-Funes, that the preliminary
17	hearing, currently set for August 13, 2018, at the	hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued for ten
18	days, or to a date to be set at the Court's convenience	ence.
19	This stipulation is entered into for the foll	owing reasons:
20	1. Government counsel out of town a	and needs time to prepare
21	2. The Defendant is detained and doe	es not object to the continuance.
22	3. For the reasons stated above, the e	nds of justice would best be served by a
23	continuance of the preliminary hearing.	
24		

1 2	DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney ROBERT A. KNIEF		
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 / Fax: (702) 388-6020 Robert.knief@usdoj.gov		
5	Counsel for the United States		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA -000-		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO.: 2:18-mj-00688-GWF	
10	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
11	vs.) PRELIMINARYHEARING) (First Request)	
12	JUAN ANTONIO ORDONEZ-FUNES,))	
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	FINDINGS OF FACT		
16	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court		
17	finds that:		
18	Government counsel out of town and needs time to prepare		
19	2. The Defendant is detained and does not object to the continuance.		
20	3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a		
21	continuance of the preliminary hearing.		
22	4. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.		
23	5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time		
24	from the filing of the criminal complaint through which the government must assert an criminal		

1	Information or seek an Indictment by the Grand Jury pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18			
2	United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under Title 18, United			
3	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
4	6. This is the first request for a continuance.			
5	For all of the above-stated reasons, the end of justice would best be served by a continuance			
6	of the preliminary hearing.			
7	<u>ORDER</u>			
8	IT IS ORDERED that the preliminary hearing currently scheduled for August 13, 2018, at			
9	4:00 p.m. be vacated and continued to August 23, 2018, at the hour of 4:00 p.m.			
10	DATED this <u>7th</u> day of August, 2018.			
11				
12	UNITED STATES MACISTRATE JUDGE			
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				